

# GLOBALIZATION OF SUPERCUSPIDAL REPRESENTATIONS OVER FUNCTION FIELDS AND APPLICATIONS

WEE TECK GAN

*Kyoto University / Distinguished Visiting Project Professor*

*National University of Singapore / Professor*

ABSTRACT. These notes are taken by Shuji Horinaga, Kaoru Sano, and Miyu Suzuki. They are based on KTGU Special Lectures delivered at Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University in December 2015 and January 2016.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. The main theorem	5
3. Proof of the main theorem	8
4. Proof of the globalization theorem	10
5. Work of Vincent Lafforgue	13
5.1. Main theorems	13
6. Getting Shimura varieties	15
6.1. Observation	15
6.2. Analogues of Shimura varieties, Moduli spaces of shtukas	16
7. Applications of the globalization theorem	17
7.1. Langlands-Shahidi theory	17
7.2. Stability of the Langlands-Shahidi gamma factors	19
8. Construction of local Langlands correspondence	22
8.1. Plancherel measures	22
8.2. Reducibility of generalized principal series	26
References	27

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $k$  be a global field, i.e., either a number field like  $\mathbb{Q}$  or a function field like  $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$ . Here, a function field means a function field of an absolutely irreducible smooth projective curve over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . We denote by  $\mathbb{A}$  the adèle ring of  $k$ . Take a connected reductive group  $H$  defined over  $k$ , e.g.,  $H = \mathrm{GL}_n$ . Let  $Z = Z(H)^\circ$  be the identity component of the center of  $H$ , which is a central torus. The set

of adèle points  $H(\mathbb{A})$  forms a locally compact group and it contains the set of  $k$ -rational points  $H(k)$  as a discrete subgroup. It is similar to the case of  $\mathbb{R}$  containing  $\mathbb{Z}$ . In this situation, the quotient space

$$[H] = Z(\mathbb{A})H(k)\backslash H(\mathbb{A})$$

is of finite volume with respect to an invariant measure.

A function

$$(1.1) \quad f: H(k)\backslash H(\mathbb{A}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

satisfying certain smoothness, finiteness, and growth conditions is called an *automorphic form* on  $H$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{A}(H)$  the space of automorphic forms on  $H$ . The group  $H(\mathbb{A})$  acts on  $\mathcal{A}(H)$  by right translation. For a character

$$\omega: Z(k)\backslash Z(\mathbb{A}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times,$$

we denote by  $\mathcal{A}_\omega(H)$  the subspace of  $\mathcal{A}(H)$  consisting of automorphic forms which satisfy

$$(1.2) \quad f(hz) = \omega(z)f(h)$$

for all  $h \in H(\mathbb{A})$  and  $z \in Z(\mathbb{A})$ . In this case, we call  $\omega$  the *central character*.

Let  $\omega$  be a unitary character. We say that a function  $f$  satisfying (1.1) and (1.2) is an  $L^2$ -*function* if the inequality

$$\int_{[H]} |f|^2 < \infty$$

holds. We denote the space of such functions by  $L_\omega^2([H])$ . We also consider the action of  $H(\mathbb{A})$  on this space by right translation.

For a parabolic  $k$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $H$  and its Levi decomposition  $P = MN$ , we define the *constant term* of  $f$  along  $N$  by

$$f_N(h) = \int_{[N]} f(nh) \, dn.$$

Here, the integral is taken over the compact quotient  $[N] = N(k)\backslash N(\mathbb{A})$ .

**Definition 1.1.** An automorphic form  $f \in \mathcal{A}(H)$  is a *cuspidal form* if the constant term  $f_N$  is zero for all proper parabolic  $k$ -subgroup  $P$  with Levi decomposition  $P = MN$ .

We denote the space of cuspidal forms with central character  $\omega$  by  $\mathcal{A}_{\omega, \text{cusp}}(H)$ . It is contained in the intersection

$$\mathcal{A}_\omega^2(H) = \mathcal{A}_\omega(H) \cap L_\omega^2([H]).$$

Let  $L_{\omega, \text{cusp}}^2([H])$  be the  $L^2$ -closure of  $\mathcal{A}_{\omega, \text{cusp}}(H)$ . These subspaces are invariant under the action of  $H(\mathbb{A})$  and in particular, these are semi-simple, i.e., decomposed

into the direct sums of irreducible subrepresentations. Any irreducible summand of  $L_{\omega, \text{cusp}}^2([H])$  is called a *cuspidal representation*.

One of the goals in the *Global Langlands Program* is to classify all irreducible cuspidal representations of  $H(\mathbb{A})$  in terms of *Galois representations* and some extra data. Thus our main objects of interest are cuspidal representations and cusp forms. However, the existence of non-zero cusp forms is not a priori clear from their definition.

**Question 1.2.** How to produce cusp forms?

We use the Poincaré series to answer this question. Let  $C_c^\infty(H(\mathbb{A}))$  be the space of all smooth compactly supported functions on  $H(\mathbb{A})$ . Note that the space  $C_c^\infty(H(\mathbb{A}))$  can be identified with the restricted tensor product  $\bigotimes'_v C_c^\infty(H(k_v))$  of analogous spaces of functions on  $H(k_v)$ , where  $v$  runs over all places of  $k$ . Take a non-zero element  $f$  in  $C_c^\infty(H(\mathbb{A}))$ . By the above remark, a function  $f$  can be decomposed into a linear combination of the tensor product of local functions  $\bigotimes'_v f_v$  and for almost all  $v$ , a function  $f_v$  is the characteristic function of a maximal compact subgroup of  $H(k_v)$ . Set  $\mathcal{P}(f)$  be the function on  $H(k) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})$  given by

$$\mathcal{P}(f)(h) = \sum_{\gamma \in H(k)} f(\gamma h)$$

for  $h \in H(\mathbb{A})$ . We call the function  $\mathcal{P}(f)$  the *Poincaré series*.

We need to show this sum converges for every  $h \in H(\mathbb{A})$ . For a fixed  $h \in H(\mathbb{A})$ , we only need to sum over  $\gamma \in H(k) \cap \text{Supp}(f)h^{-1}$ . This intersection is in fact a finite set since this is discrete and compact in  $H(\mathbb{A})$ . Therefore the above sum converges absolutely and moreover, a function  $\mathcal{P}(f)$  is a smooth function. There remains to show that we can take a function  $f$  so that the Poincaré series  $\mathcal{P}(f)$  is a non-zero cusp form. Take a function  $f = \bigotimes'_v f_v \in C_c^\infty(H(\mathbb{A}))$ . Pick a non-archimedean place  $v_0$  of  $k$ . Fix functions  $f_v$  for  $v \neq v_0$  such that  $f_v(1) \neq 0$ . Let  $f_{v_0}$  be the characteristic function of a compact open neighborhood  $K_{v_0}$  of the identity of  $H(k_{v_0})$ .

**Lemma 1.3.** We can take a sufficiently small compact open neighborhood  $K_{v_0}$  so that  $H(k) \cap \text{Supp}(f) = \{1\}$  holds.

For  $K_{v_0}$  in Lemma 1.3, we have  $\mathcal{P}(f)(1) \neq 0$ .

To construct a function  $f = \bigotimes'_v f_v$  such that the Poincaré series  $\mathcal{P}(f)$  is a cusp form, we need to introduce the local analogue of the notion of cusp forms.

**Definition 1.4.** For a representation  $(\pi, V_\pi)$  of  $H(k_v)$  and a proper parabolic  $k_v$ -subgroup  $P = MN$  of  $H$ , let  $\pi_N$  be the quotient of  $V_\pi$  by the subspace of  $V_\pi$  spanned by elements of the form  $\pi(n)w - w$  with  $n \in N$  and  $w \in V_\pi$ . A representation  $\pi$  is called *supercuspidal* if  $\pi_N = 0$  for every proper parabolic  $k_v$ -subgroup  $P$ .

For an irreducible admissible representation  $(\pi, V_\pi)$  of  $H(k_v)$ , the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $\pi$  is supercuspidal.
- (ii)  $\pi$  is not a subquotient of any representations obtained by parabolic induction.
- (iii)  $\pi$  is not a submodule of any representations obtained by parabolic induction.
- (iv) All matrix coefficients of  $\pi$  are compactly supported in  $H(k_v)$  modulo  $Z(k_v)$ .

Here we explain the definition of matrix coefficients. Let  $\pi^\vee$  denote the contragredient representation of  $\pi$  and denote the canonical pairing by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Consider the linear map

$$(1.3) \quad \pi \otimes \pi^\vee \longrightarrow C^\infty(H(k_v))$$

$$(1.4) \quad w \otimes w^\vee \longmapsto f_{w, w^\vee},$$

where  $f_{w, w^\vee}$  is defined by  $h \mapsto \langle \pi(h)w, w^\vee \rangle$ . This map is  $H(k_v) \times H(k_v)$ -equivariant if we consider the action of this group on  $C^\infty(H(k_v))$  by translation: the first factor acts by right translation and the second by left translation. A function of the form  $f_{w, w^\vee}$  is called a *matrix coefficient* of  $\pi$ .

Supercuspidal representations are the most fundamental parts of irreducible representations since these representations cannot be obtained by parabolic induction. However, existence of supercuspidal representations is not clear.

**Question 1.5.** Does there exist an irreducible supercuspidal representation?

If the place  $v$  is archimedean, then there are no supercuspidal representations. Otherwise they do exist but there is no easy way to construct. One of the known methods of construction is so called *reduction mod  $v$* . We will explain only the idea. For simplicity, we just consider the case  $H(k_v) = \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . This group contains  $K = \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  as a maximal compact subgroup. There is a surjective homomorphism from  $K$  to the finite group  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , which is called a *reduction mod  $p$  map*. The notion of (super)cuspidality of the representations of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$  is similarly defined. For a supercuspidal representation  $\tau$  of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , we denote its pullback to  $K$  by the same symbol. Then the representation  $\pi = \mathrm{ind}_K^{H(k_v)}(\tau)$ , the compactly induced representation from  $\tau$ , is supercuspidal. More generally, there exists a construction of cuspidal representations of reductive groups over finite fields by Deligne-Lusztig, and hence this construction works well. Supercuspidal representations obtained by this way is called *depth zero*. In general, supercuspidal representations are not exhausted by such construction.

For simplicity, let us assume that  $H$  is semisimple. Fix a non-archimedean place  $v_0$  and an irreducible supercuspidal representation  $\pi_{v_0}$  of  $H(k_{v_0})$ . Let  $f_{v_0}$  be a non-zero matrix coefficient of  $\pi_{v_0}$  which is an element of  $C_c^\infty(H(k_{v_0}))$  by the assumption of semisimplicity.

**Proposition 1.6.** Under the above assumptions, the Poincaré series  $\mathcal{P}(f)$  is a cusp form.

*Proof.* Let  $f^{v_0} = \otimes'_{v \neq v_0} f_v$ . For any proper parabolic  $k$ -subgroup  $P = MN$  of  $H$ , consider the linear functional  $l_N$  on  $\pi_{v_0}$  given by

$$l_N(\varphi) = \int_{[N]} \mathcal{P}(f_\varphi \otimes f^{v_0}) dn,$$

where a function  $f_\varphi(h) = \langle \pi_{v_0}(h)\varphi, w^\vee \rangle$  is a matrix coefficient of  $\pi_{v_0}$  attached to  $\varphi \in \pi_{v_0}$  and a fixed non-zero element  $w^\vee \in \pi_{v_0}^\vee$ . Clearly the linear functional  $l_N$  factors through  $(\pi_{v_0})_N$ . On the other hand, we have  $(\pi_{v_0})_N = 0$  since  $\pi_{v_0}$  is supercuspidal. Hence the linear functional  $l_N$  vanishes and the Poincaré series  $\mathcal{P}(f)$  is a cusp form.  $\square$

To summarize, if you want to construct a non-zero cusp form, what you need to do is as follows. Fix two non-archimedean places  $v_0$  and  $v_1$  of  $k$  and at each place  $v$ , take a non-zero smooth function  $f_v$  which satisfies the following conditions:

- if  $v = v_0$ , a function  $f_{v_0}$  is a non-zero matrix coefficient of a supercuspidal representation,
- if  $v = v_1$ , a function  $f_{v_1}$  is a characteristic function of a sufficiently small compact open neighborhood  $K_{v_1}$  of the identity of  $H(k_{v_1})$ , and
- if  $v$  is other than  $v_0, v_1$ , a function  $f_v$  is an arbitrary non-zero smooth function.

Under the above assumptions, the Poincaré series  $\mathcal{P}(\otimes_v f)$  is a non-zero cusp form.

**Remark 1.7.** Consider the irreducible summands of the submodule of  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp}}(H)$  generated by  $\mathcal{P}(f)$ . One of them, say  $\Pi$ , provides us a globalization of the local representation  $\pi_{v_0}$ . In the above argument, we take a test function supported on a sufficiently small neighborhood of the identity at one place  $v_1$ . Therefore we *lose the control* of the local component  $\Pi_{v_1}$ .

## 2. THE MAIN THEOREM

In this section, we state our main theorem and some remarks about it.

**Theorem 2.1.** Suppose that the following data are given:

- $k = k(Y)$  a global function field ( $k$  is the function field of an absolutely irreducible curve  $Y$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ),
- $S_0 \neq \emptyset$  a finite set of places of  $k$ ,

- $H$  a connected reductive group over  $k$ ,
- $Z$  the identity component of the center of  $H$ ,
- $N \subset H$  smooth connected  $k$ -split unipotent subgroup,
- $\chi$  an automorphic character of  $N(\mathbb{A})$ , i.e.,

$$\chi = \prod_v \chi_v : N(k) \backslash N(\mathbb{A}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times,$$

- $\omega : Z(k) \backslash Z(\mathbb{A}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  a unitary character,
- $\pi_{v_0}$  a supercuspidal representation of  $H(k_{v_0})$  for every  $v_0 \in S_0$  such that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{Z(k_{v_0})N(k_{v_0})}(\pi_{v_0}, \omega_{v_0} \otimes \chi_{v_0}) \neq 0,$$

i.e., a representation  $\pi_{v_0}$  has a central character  $\omega_{v_0}$  and  $\pi_{v_0}$  is  $(N(k_{v_0}), \chi_{v_0})$ -*distinguished*.

Then there exists a cuspidal representation  $\Pi = \bigotimes'_v \Pi_v$  such that

- (1) for every  $v_0 \in S_0$ , we have  $\Pi_{v_0} \cong \pi_{v_0}$ ,
- (2) for every  $v \notin S_0$ , a local component  $\Pi_v$  is a submodule of  $\mathrm{Ind}_{P_v(k_v)}^{H(k_v)}(\tau_v)$  with  $P_v \subset H \times_k k_v$  a minimal parabolic subgroup over  $k_v$  and  $\Pi_v|_{H_v^{\mathrm{der}}}$  has depth 0, and,
- (3)  $\Pi$  has the central character  $\omega$  and  $\Pi$  is *globally distinguished by  $(N, \chi)$* , i.e.,

$$\int_{[N]} \chi(n)^{-1} \varphi(n) \, dn \neq 0$$

for some  $\varphi \in \Pi$ .

Note that the map

$$\Pi \ni \varphi \mapsto \int_{[N]} \chi(n)^{-1} \varphi(n) \, dn \in \mathbb{C}$$

is an element of  $\mathrm{Hom}_{N(\mathbb{A})}(\Pi, \chi)$ .

**Example 2.2.** Let us see two special cases for later use.

- $N$  is trivial.
- $H$  is quasi-split (i.e., it has a Borel subgroup  $B$  defined over  $k$ ),  $N$  is the unipotent radical of  $B$  and  $\chi$  is a *generic character* on  $N$ .

This observation only provides an element in the space of cuspidal automorphic forms. Of course once we obtain a non-zero vector in the representation space, we can construct a submodule generated by it. However, what we want to know precisely is the irreducible summands of that submodule and those local components.

**Remark 2.3.** There are some comments about our main theorem.

- (1) We can prove exactly the same theorem in number field case by the similar argument as in the Introduction. The most different point is that function field does not have archimedean places which we can “sacrifice.” Therefore we should be more careful than number field case.

- (2) When talking about unipotent groups, unlike characteristic 0 case, we need to notice it is assumed to be smooth, connected and  $k$ -split. A unipotent group  $U$  over  $k$  is called  $k$ -split if it is a successive extension of  $\mathbb{G}_a$  over  $k$ . If  $k$  is perfect, then any unipotent group is  $k$ -split.

**Example 2.4** (Rosenlicht). Assume that  $k$  is not perfect and characteristic  $p > 0$ . Take  $a \in k \setminus k^p$ . Set  $U = \{y^p = x - ax^p\} \subset \mathbb{G}_a^2$ . This is a unipotent  $k$ -subgroup of  $\mathbb{G}_a^2$ .

Over  $L = k(a^{\frac{1}{p}})$ , the group  $U$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{G}_a$  (as algebraic groups) by the map  $(x, y) \mapsto y + a^{\frac{1}{p}}x$ . However, over  $k$ ,  $U$  is not isomorphic to  $\mathbb{G}_a$  as a scheme. See [2, Appendix B] and [6, Chapter V].

**Definition 2.5.** A unipotent  $k$ -group  $U$  is  $k$ -wound if every  $k$ -morphism of scheme  $\mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow U$  is constant.

The unipotent group  $U$  in the above example is  $k$ -wound. If  $k$  is a local function field, then a unipotent group  $U$  is  $k$ -wound if and only if  $U(k)$  is compact in the analytic topology. This is an analogue of “anisotropic torus”.

**Example 2.6.** Notation are as above. Let  $G = \text{Res}_{L/k} \mathbb{G}_m$ . Here, Res is the Weil restriction of scalars. Then for a  $k$ -algebra  $R$ , the set of  $R$ -valued points of  $G$  is  $(R \otimes_k L)^\times$  and there is a natural embedding  $\mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow G$ .

Set  $H = G/\mathbb{G}_m$ . Each element in  $H(k) = L^\times/k^\times$  has order dividing  $p$ . Hence  $H$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{G}_a^{p-1}$  over  $L$  but  $k$ -wound.

**Corollary 2.7.** Let  $F$  be a local field of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Suppose the following data are given:

- (i) a central torus  $Z_F \subset H_F$ ,
- (ii) a parabolic subgroup  $P_F = M_F N_F$ ,
- (iii) a character  $\omega_F: Z_F \rightarrow S^1$ ,
- (iv) a character  $\chi_F: N_F \rightarrow S^1$  such that the  $M_F$ -orbit of  $\chi_F$  is open,
- (v)  $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_a$  supercuspidal representations of  $H_F$  which are  $(N_F, \chi_F)$ -distinguished with central character  $\omega_F$ .

Then there are

- a global field  $k$  with places  $v_1, \dots, v_a$  such that  $k_{v_i} \cong F$ ,
- a central torus  $Z \subset H$  over  $k$  globalizing (i) at each  $v_i$ ,
- a parabolic subgroup  $P = MN \subset H$  over  $k$  globalizing (ii) at each  $v_i$ ,
- a character  $\omega: Z(k) \backslash Z(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow S^1$  globalizing (iii),
- a character  $\chi: N(k) \backslash N(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow S^1$  such that  $\chi_{v_i}$  and  $\chi_F$  are in the same  $M_F$ -orbit,
- a cuspidal representation  $\Pi$  of  $H(\mathbb{A})$  as in the theorem.

## 3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

In this section, we will prove the main theorem. We assume that a group  $H$  is a split semisimple group, a subgroup  $B = TU$  of  $H$  is a Borel subgroup of  $H$  and  $N$  is the trivial group or a unipotent subgroup of  $B$ . Fix an embedding  $\iota: H \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SL}_n \subset \mathrm{GL}_n$ . We then get coordinate functions  $x_{i,j}$  on  $H$ . By this embedding  $\iota$ , we recognize  $H$  as a subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume the following conditions:

- $B = H \cap$  (the upper triangular subgroup in  $\mathrm{SL}_n$ ),
- $T = H \cap$  (the diagonal subgroup in  $\mathrm{SL}_n$ ),
- $U = H \cap$  (the upper triangular unipotent subgroup in  $\mathrm{SL}_n$ ),
- $\bar{U} = H \cap$  (the lower triangular unipotent subgroup in  $\mathrm{SL}_n$ ), and,
- $N \subset U$ .

Let  $\mathcal{O}_{S_0}$  be the ring of  $S_0$ -integers in  $k$ . Then there exists an  $\mathcal{O}_{S_0}$ -integral structure on  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ . Hence, we have an  $\mathcal{O}_{S_0}$ -integral structure on  $H$ .

Let  $S$  be a large finite set of places which satisfies

- $S \cap S_0 = \emptyset$ ,
- a group  $H$  is a smooth reductive group over  $\mathcal{O}_v$  for any  $v \notin S \cup S_0$ ,
- a subgroup  $I_v^+$  is an Iwahori subgroup of  $H(k_v)$ ,
- a subgroup  $I_v^-$  is a lower Iwahori subgroup of  $H(k_v)$ , and,
- a group  $N$  is smooth over  $\mathcal{O}_v$  and  $\chi_v$  is trivial on  $N(\mathcal{O}_v)$ .

Here, the subgroup  $I_v^+$  (resp.  $I_v^-$ ) is the intersection of  $H(k_v)$  and the Iwahori subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_n$  (resp. the lower Iwahori subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ ).

First, we define an open compact subgroup  $C = \prod_v C_v$  as follows. Fix an arbitrary open compact subgroup  $C_{S_0} = \prod_{v \in S_0} C_v$  of  $\prod_{v \in S_0} H(k_v)$ . Fix two finite sets  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  of places which are disjoint from  $S_0 \cup S$ . Define the open subgroups  $C_v$  for each place  $v \notin S_0$  by

- for  $v \notin S \cup S_0 \cup S_1 \cup S_2$ , let  $C_v = H(\mathcal{O}_v)$ ,
- for  $v \in S_1$ , let  $C_v = I_v^+$ ,
- for  $v \in S_2$ , let  $C_v = I_v^-$ , and,
- for  $v \in S$ , a subgroup  $C_v$  is an Iwahori subgroup so that  $\chi_v$  is trivial on  $C_v$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** If  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are sufficiently large, we have

$$H(k) \cap C \subset N(k).$$

*Proof.* It is sufficient to prove that if  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are sufficiently large, the intersection  $H(k) \cap C$  is trivial. For every  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , the intersection  $H(k) \cap C$  is a finite set, since  $H(k)$  is a discrete subgroup of  $H(\mathbb{A})$  and  $C$  is a compact subgroup of  $H(\mathbb{A})$ . Take an element  $\gamma \in H(k) \cap N(k)$ . Let  $T = S \cup S_0 \cup S_1 \cup S_2$ . Then  $x_{i,j}(\gamma) \in \mathcal{O}_v$ , since  $\prod_{v \notin T} C_v = \prod_{v \notin T} H(\mathcal{O}_v)$ . This means that  $x_{i,j}(\gamma)$  has no pole at  $v \in |Y|$



such that  $v \notin T$ . Then there exists  $M$  such that  $\text{ord}_v(x_{i,j}) < M$  for all  $i, j$  and  $v \in S \cap S_0$ . For  $v \in S_1$ , we have  $C_v = I_v^+$ . Hence, coordinates  $x_{i,j}(\gamma)$  vanish at all  $v \in S_1$  for  $i > j$ . Similarly,  $x_{i,j}(\gamma)$  vanishes at all  $v \in S_2$  for  $i < j$ . Therefore, if  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are sufficiently large, we have

$$x_{i,j}(\gamma) = \begin{cases} 0 & i \neq j \\ 1 & i = j. \end{cases}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

We replace the finite sets  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  with the sets as in Lemma 3.1.

Next, we will construct the test function.

**Lemma 3.2.** If  $\pi_v$  is a supercuspidal representation of  $H(k_v)$  so that

$$\text{Hom}_{N(k_v)}(\pi, \chi_v) \neq 0,$$

then we can find a matrix coefficient  $f_v$  of  $\pi_v$  which satisfies

$$\int_{N(k_v)} f_v(n) \overline{\chi_v}(n) \, dn \neq 0.$$

*Proof.* Choose a nonzero functional  $l \in \text{Hom}_{N(k)}(\pi_v, \chi_v)$  and fix a nonzero element  $w_0 \in \pi_v$ . Then we have  $\pi_v = C_c^\infty(H(k)) \cdot w_0$ , where

$$\pi_v(f_v)w_0 = \int_{H(k_v)} f_v(h) \pi_v(h)w_0 \, dh$$

for  $f_v \in C_c^\infty(H(k))$ . Hence there is  $f_v \in C_c^\infty(H(k))$  such that  $l(\pi_v(f_v)w_0) \neq 0$ . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} l(\pi_v(f_v)w_0) &= \int_{H(k_v)} f_v(h) l(\pi_v(h)w_0) \, dh \\ &= \int_{N(k_v) \backslash H(k_v)} \left( \int_{N(k)} f_v(nh) l(\pi_v(n)\pi_v(h)w_0) \, dn \right) \, dh \\ &= \int_{N(k_v) \backslash H(k_v)} l(\pi_v(hw_0)) \left( \int_{N(k_v)} \chi(n) f_v(nh) \, dn \right) \, dh. \end{aligned}$$

Now we get  $f_v \in C_c^\infty(H(k))$  with non-vanishing integral

$$\int_{N(k_v)} \chi(n) f_v(nh) \, dn \neq 0.$$

Since  $\pi_v$  is supercuspidal, the map

$$C_c^\infty(H(k_v)) \longrightarrow \text{End}(V_{\pi_v}) \cong \pi_v \otimes \pi_v^\vee$$

defined by  $f_v \mapsto \pi_v(f_v)$  has a splitting, given by

$$w \otimes w^\vee \mapsto f_{w, w^\vee}.$$

This splitting leads us to the following decomposition of  $C_c^\infty(H(k_v))$  as an  $H(k_v) \times H(k_v)$ -module: we have a decomposition

$$C_c^\infty(H(k_v)) = (\pi_v \otimes \pi_v^\vee) \oplus C',$$

where  $C'$  is a submodule which has no subquotient isomorphic to  $\pi_v \otimes \pi_v^\vee$ . The map  $f \mapsto \pi_v(f_v)$  is zero on  $C'$  and hence we may assume  $f_v$  above is of the form

$$f_v(h) = \langle \pi_v^\vee(h)w^\vee, w \rangle$$

for some  $w$  and  $w^\vee$ . By the previous argument, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\neq \int_{N(k_v)} \chi_v(n) \langle \pi_v^\vee(nh)w^\vee, w \rangle dn \\ &= \int_{N(k_v)} \chi_v(n) \langle \pi^\vee(h)w^\vee, \pi(n^{-1})w \rangle dn \end{aligned}$$

for some  $h \in H(k_v)$ . We set  $w^\vee = \pi_v^\vee(h)w$ . Then  $f = f_{w, w^\vee}$  satisfies the desired property. This completes the proof.  $\square$

Take a matrix coefficient  $f_v$  for  $v \in S_0$  as in Lemma 3.2. Set  $C_v = \text{Supp}(f_v)$  for  $v \in S_0$ . For  $v \notin S_0$ , let  $f_v = 1_{C_v}$ . We then define a test function  $f$  by  $f = \otimes_v f_v$ .

*Proof of the main theorem.* Let  $\mathcal{P}(f)$  be the Poincare series defined by

$$\mathcal{P}(f)(h) = \sum_{\gamma \in H(k)} f(\gamma h), \quad h \in H(\mathbb{A}).$$

We claim that

$$\int_{N(k) \backslash N(\mathbb{A})} \bar{\chi}(n) \mathcal{P}(f)(n) dn \neq 0.$$

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{N(k) \backslash N(\mathbb{A})} \chi^{-1}(n) \sum_{\gamma \in N(k)} f(\gamma n) dn &= \sum_{\gamma \in N(k)} \int_{N(k) \backslash N(\mathbb{A})} \chi^{-1}(n) f(\gamma n) dn \\ &= \int_{N(\mathbb{A})} \chi^{-1}(n) f(n) dn \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the convergence of the integral comes from Lemma 3.1.

Therefore,  $\mathcal{P}(f)$  is cuspidal and  $(N, \chi)$ -distinguished. Hence we see

$$\mathcal{P}(f) \in L_{\text{cusp}}^2(H(k) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})).$$

Let  $\Pi$  be an irreducible summand of the representation generated by  $\mathcal{P}(f)$  on  $H(\mathbb{A})$ . This gives  $\Pi$  as in the main theorem. This completes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. PROOF OF THE GLOBALIZATION THEOREM

First we globalize the local fields. Let  $F$  be a local field of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Then, there exists  $q$  such that  $F \cong \mathbb{F}_q((T))$ . Let  $k_0 = \mathbb{F}_q(T)$ . By Krasner's Lemma, we have the globalization of local field.

**Lemma 4.1.** Given finite Galois extension  $E/F$  of local fields, we can find a Galois extension  $k'/k$  with  $k_v \cong F$  which satisfies  $k' \otimes_k k_v = E$  and  $[k': k] = [E: F]$ .

Next, we globalize a parabolic subgroup  $H_F \supseteq P_F$ . We assume first that the group  $H_F$  is quasi-split. Let  $H_s$  be a split group over  $\mathbb{Z}$  so that  $H_s \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \overline{F} \cong H_F \times_F \overline{F}$ . Then,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{quasi-split reductive groups over } F \\ \text{which are isomorphic to } H_s \text{ over } F \end{array} \right\} \cong H^1(F, \text{Out}(H_s)).$$

For an isomorphism class  $[H_F]$  of such reductive groups, we denote by  $[C_{H_F}]$  the corresponding cocycle in  $H^1(F, \text{Out}(H_s))$ . If  $E/F$  is a finite Galois extension such that  $H_F$  is split, then

$$C_{H_F}: \text{Gal}(E/F) \longrightarrow \text{Out}(H_s).$$

By Lemma 4.1, we have an isomorphism  $\text{Gal}(E/F) \cong \text{Gal}(k'/k)$ . Hence, we have a cocycle

$$c: \text{Gal}(k'/k) \longrightarrow \text{Out}(H_s).$$

Consider a cocycle  $[c] \in H^1(k, \text{Out}(H_s))$ , we have a quasi-split group  $H$  over  $k$  such that  $H \times k_v \cong H_F$ . Let

$$\Psi = (X^*(T_s), \Delta(T_s, B_s), X_*(T_s), \Delta^\vee)$$

be the root datum corresponding to a Borel subgroup  $T_s U_s = B_s \subset H_s$ . Then we obtain a  $\text{Gal}(E/F)$ -twisted root datum corresponding to such a  $[H]$  or  $[c]$ . Hence, we have a Borel subgroup of  $H$ , moreover, we get a globalization of  $P_F \subset H_F$ .

We now consider the general case. Given  $H_F \supseteq P_F$ . Let  $H'_F$  be the quasi-split inner form of  $H_F$ . By the above argument, we can globalize a parabolic subgroup  $P'_F \subset H'_F$ . Let  $P' \subset H'$  be such a globalization. For  $[H_F]$ , we obtain a cocycle

$$[C_{H_F}] \in H^1(F, \text{Int}(H'_F)) = H^1(F, H'_{F, \text{Ad}}).$$

We need to show that the natural localization map

$$H^1(k, H'_{\text{Ad}}) \longrightarrow H^1(F, H'_{F, \text{Ad}})$$

is surjective. This is already proved by Borel-Harder in characteristic 0 and Thang-Tan in positive characteristics. We need to consider

$$\text{Inn}(H' \supset P') \cong P'_{\text{Ad}} \subset H'_{\text{Ad}}.$$

Let  $M'$  and  $M'_F$  be Levi subgroups of  $P'$  and  $P'_F$ , respectively. Then, we need to show surjectivity of the localization map

$$H^1(k, M'_{\text{Ad}}) = H^1(k, P'_{\text{Ad}}) \longrightarrow H^1(F, P'_{F, \text{Ad}}) = H^1(F, M'_{F, \text{Ad}}).$$

There exists an exact sequence

$$(M'_{\text{Ad}})^{\text{der}} \longrightarrow M'_{\text{Ad}} \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 1,$$

where  $A$  is the split torus of  $M'$ . Then, we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^1(k, M'_{\text{Ad}}{}^{\text{der}}) & \twoheadrightarrow & H^1(k, M'_{\text{Ad}}) & \twoheadrightarrow & H^1(A) = 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ H^1(F, M'_{\text{Ad}}{}^{\text{der}}) & \twoheadrightarrow & H^1(F, M'_{\text{Ad}}) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

This forces that  $H^1(k, M'_{\text{Ad}}) \rightarrow H^1(F, M'_{\text{Ad}})$  is surjective. Hence, we can globalize a parabolic subgroup  $P_F \subset H_F$  for general  $H_F$ .

Finally, we globalize the central character  $\omega$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** (D. Prasad) Let  $k$  be a global field of characteristic  $p > 0$  with  $k_{v_0} = F$ . Let  $Z$  be a  $k$ -torus such that  $F$ -rank  $r$  of  $Z_F = Z_{v_0}$  is equal to  $k$ -rank of  $Z$ . For a given unitary character  $\omega_F: Z_F \rightarrow S^1$ , there is a global automorphic character  $\omega: Z(k) \backslash Z(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow S^1$  such that

- $\omega_{v_0} = \omega_F$
- $\omega$  is trivial on the compact subgroup

$$\Omega = \prod_{v \in T} Z(k_v)^1 \times \prod_{v \notin T \cup \{v_0\}} Z(k_v)^0,$$

with some non-empty finite set of places  $T$ .

Here,  $Z(k_v)^0$  is the maximal compact subgroup of  $Z(k_v)$  and  $Z(k_v)^1$  is the maximal pro- $p$  subgroup of  $Z(k_v)^0$ .

*Proof.* Consider the natural map

$$i: Z(k_{v_0})^0 \times \Omega \rightarrow Z(k) \backslash Z(\mathbb{A}).$$

Since  $Z(k_{v_0})^0 \times \Omega$  is compact, the image of  $i$  is a closed subgroup.

Claim:  $i$  is injective.

We assume this claim for a moment and deduce the assertion from it. By Pontrjagin theory, one can find a unitary character  $\omega': Z(k) \backslash Z(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow S^1$  which is trivial on  $\Omega$  and whose restriction to  $Z(k_{v_0})^0$  is equal to the restriction of  $\omega_F$ .

Now we have

$$q: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^r$$

such that  $\ker(q)$  is anisotropic over  $k_{v_0}$ . Then a homomorphism

$$\omega'_{v_0} \omega_F^{-1}: Z(k_{v_0}) \rightarrow S^1$$

factors through

$$\text{ord}_{v_0} \circ q: Z(k_{v_0}) \rightarrow (k_{v_0}^\times)^r \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^r.$$

Note that  $\text{ord}_{v_0} \circ q$  has compact kernel and the image of finite index. By multiplying to  $\omega'$  a character of the form  $(\prod_{i=1}^r |\cdot|_{\mathbb{A}}^{s_i}) \circ q$ , we can make  $\omega'_{v_0} = \omega_F$ .

Next, we prove the claim. Let  $E$  be a splitting field of  $Z$ , which is a separable extension of  $k$ . Then  $Z(k)$  can be embedded into  $Z(E) \cong (E^\times)^m$ . We denote this embedding by  $z \mapsto (z_1, \dots, z_m)$ . There are smooth projective curves  $Y$  and  $\tilde{Y}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with function fields  $k = \mathbb{F}_q(Y)$  and  $E = \mathbb{F}_q(\tilde{Y})$ .

Take

$$z \in \ker(i) = Z(k) \cap (Z(k_{v_0})^0 \times \Omega).$$

Since this is in the maximal compact subgroup, each  $z_i$  corresponds to a constant function on  $\tilde{Y}$ . On the other hand,  $z$  is in a maximal pro- $p$  subgroup at some place and hence each  $z_i$  takes value 1 at some point. This means  $z_i = 1$ .  $\square$

## 5. WORK OF VINCENT LAFFORGUE

In this section, let  $k = \mathbb{F}_q(X)$  be a function field and  $G$  a connected reductive group over  $k$ . For simplicity, we assume that  $G$  is split and semi-simple.

### 5.1. Main theorems.

**Theorem 5.1** (V. Lafforgue). Let  $\text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(G)$  be the set of cuspidal automorphic representations  $\Pi$  of  $G(\mathbb{A})$ , and let  $\Phi(G)$  be the set of  $\check{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ -conjugacy classes of continuous semi-simple homomorphisms

$$\rho: \text{Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k) \longrightarrow \check{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell).$$

Then there is a map from  $\text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(G)$  to  $\Phi(G)$  such that for

$$\Pi = \bigotimes'_v \Pi_v \in \text{Irr}_{\text{cusp}}(G),$$

$\Pi_v$  has Satake parameter  $\rho_\Pi|_{\Gamma_{k,v}}$  at almost all  $v$  where  $\Pi_v$  is unramified,.

**Definition 5.2.** For a finite subscheme  $N \subset X$ , we define the *principal congruence subgroup*  $K_N$  of  $G(\mathcal{O}) = \prod_v G(\mathcal{O}_v)$  by the kernel of reduction mod  $N$  map:

$$K_N = \ker(G(\mathcal{O}) \longrightarrow G(\mathcal{O}_N)).$$

Moreover, we define the space of  $K_N$ -invariant cusp forms by

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp},N} = C_{\text{cusp}}(G(k) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}) / K_N),$$

which is of course contained in the space of cusp forms,

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp}} = C_{\text{cusp}}(G(k) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})) = \lim_N \mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp},N}.$$

The latter space is a  $G(\mathbb{A})$ -module under right translation, but the former one is not stable under this action. However,  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp},N}$  has an action of the Hecke algebra, which is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{H}_N = C_c^\infty(K_N \backslash G(\mathbb{A}) / K_N) = \bigotimes'_v C_c^\infty(K_{N,v} \backslash G(k_v) / K_{N,v}).$$

Understanding  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp}}$  as a  $G(\mathbb{A})$ -module is actually “equivalent” to understand each  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp},N}$  as an  $\mathcal{H}_N$ -module. Hence we treat  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp},N}$  with fixed  $N$ .

**Remark 5.3.** If  $v$  is not in the support of  $N$ ,

$$\mathcal{H}_{N,v} = C_c^\infty(K_{N,v} \backslash G(k_v) / K_{N,v})$$

is the usual spherical Hecke algebra.

**Theorem 5.4** (V. Lafforgue). For each fixed  $N$ , there is a decomposition of  $\mathcal{H}_N$ -modules

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp},N} = \bigoplus_{\rho} \mathcal{A}_{N,\rho}$$

indexed by

$$\rho: \text{Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k) \longrightarrow \check{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$$

unramified outside  $N$  (modulo conjugacy) such that for any

$$\Pi = \bigotimes'_v \Pi_v \subset \mathcal{A}_{N,\rho}$$

and for all  $v$  outside  $N$ ,  $\Pi_v$  has Satake parameter  $\rho|_{\Gamma_{k_v}}$ .

**Remark 5.5.** For fixed  $\rho$ , the submodule  $\mathcal{A}_{N,\rho}$  consists of nearly equivalent representations. But it is a priori possible that for  $\rho \neq \rho'$ , the representations in  $\mathcal{A}_{N,\rho}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{N,\rho'}$  are nearly equivalent.

If we have an operator on  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp},N}$  which commutes with the  $\mathcal{H}_N$ -action, then we may obtain a decomposition of  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp},N}$  into the generalized eigenspaces of this action.

**Proposition 5.6** (Two key statements). Notation is as above.

(i) For each  $N$ , there is a commutative subalgebra

$$B_N \subset \text{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}(\mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp},N})$$

which commutes with  $\mathcal{H}_N$ -action. Then there is a decomposition

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp},N} = \bigoplus_{\nu} \mathcal{A}_{N,\nu}$$

indexed by characters  $\nu: B_N \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ . Moreover, for any  $v$  outside  $N$ , the action of  $\mathcal{H}_{N,v}$  is realized by  $B_N$ .

(ii) There is a map

$$\text{Hom}(B_N, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \longrightarrow \{\rho: \Gamma_k \longrightarrow \check{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)\}.$$

We denote the associate map with  $\nu$  by  $\rho_\nu$ .

Elements of  $B_N$  are of the form  $S_{I,\gamma,f}$ , where

- $I$  is a finite set,

- $\gamma \in \Gamma_k^I = \text{Map}(I, \Gamma^k)$ , and
- $f \in \mathcal{O}(\check{G}^\Delta \backslash \check{G}^I / \check{G}^\Delta)$ .

The map in (ii) is characterized by the values

$$\nu(S_{I, \gamma, f}) = f(\rho_\nu(\gamma_1), \dots, \rho_\nu(\gamma_{|I|})) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$$

for  $I, \gamma \in \check{G}^I$ , and  $f \in \mathcal{O}(\check{G}^\Delta \backslash \check{G}^I / \check{G}^\Delta)$

Recall that by the work of Peter-Weyl, we have the decomposition

$$\mathcal{O}(\check{G}^I) = \bigoplus_{W \in \text{Irr } \check{G}^I} W^* \otimes W.$$

Hence each element  $f \in \mathcal{O}(\check{G}^I)$  is written as  $f = \sum_W f_W$ , where each  $f_W$  is a linear combination of matrix coefficients of  $W$ , i.e.,

$$f_W(g) = \langle w^*, gw \rangle$$

for some  $w \in W$  and  $w^* \in W^*$ .

Note that  $f_W$  is in  $\mathcal{O}(\check{G}^\Delta \backslash \check{G}^I / \check{G}^\Delta)$  if and only if  $w$  and  $w^*$  are fixed by  $\check{G}^\Delta$ .

## 6. GETTING SHIMURA VARIETIES

**6.1. Observation.** In this subsection, we observe the condition under which we can get a Shimura variety of a given group  $G$ .

**Interlude (Number field case).** Given  $G$ , consider a Shimura variety  $\text{Sh}_G$ . Then  $G(\mathbb{A}) \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$  acts on the cohomology group

$$H_{\text{cusp}}^*(\text{Sh}_{G^*}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}), \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}) = \bigoplus_{\Pi: \text{cuspidal}} \Pi \otimes \rho_\Pi,$$

where  $\rho_\Pi$  are Galois representations. But not every  $G$  has a Shimura variety.

Shimura data of  $G$  is indexed by the following equivalent objects.

- minuscule coweight of  $G$ ,
- minuscule weight of  $\check{G}$ , and
- minuscule irreducible representation  $(R, V)$  of  $\check{G}$ .

For given minuscule irreducible representation  $(R, V)$ , the  $\rho_\Pi$  that one gets is of the form

$$\rho_\Pi: \Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\phi_\Pi} \check{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}) \xrightarrow{R} \text{GL}(V).$$

To produce a homomorphism  $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow \check{G}$ , one can appeal to *Tannaka duality*.

Consider the category  $(\text{Rep}(\check{G}))$  which has a tensor product. For the forgetting functor

$$F: \text{Rep}(\check{G}) \rightarrow (\text{Vect}),$$

we have

$$\check{G} = \text{Aut}(F).$$

So to define a homomorphism  $\Gamma \rightarrow \check{G}$  is equivalent to giving  $\rho_V: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  for  $V \in (\text{Rep}(\check{G}))$  satisfying the following two conditions.

- For any  $G$ -equivariant map  $T: V \rightarrow W$  and for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{T} & W \\ \rho_V(\gamma) \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_W(\gamma) \\ V & \xrightarrow{T} & W. \end{array}$$

- $\rho_{V \otimes W} = \rho_V \otimes \rho_W$ .

Consequently, to get a global  $L$ -parameter

$$\rho: \Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \check{G},$$

we need a Shimura variety  $\text{Sh}_{\mathcal{O}, V}$  for every representation  $V$  of  $\check{G}$ .

**Miracle (function field case).** Over function fields, one has a “Shimura variety” for every  $V$ .

**6.2. Analogues of Shimura varieties, Moduli spaces of shtukas.** In this subsection, we introduce the analogous object over function field to Shimura variety over a number field, and we get a mechanism which produce cusp forms by using “Shimura variety” at the last of this lecture note.

The following are given:

- a curve  $X$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ,
- finite subscheme  $N \subset X$ ,
- a finite set  $I$ , and
- a scheme  $S$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

**Definition 6.1.** A *shtuka* on  $X \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} S$  is the data

- a  $G$ -torsor  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $X \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} S$ ,
- a collection of points  $x_i \in (X \setminus N)(S)$  for  $i \in I$ ,
- a modification of  $\mathcal{G}$ , i.e., an isomorphism

$$\phi: \mathcal{G}|_{(X \times S) \setminus \bigcup_{i \in I} \Gamma_{x_i}} \rightarrow {}^{\tau} \mathcal{G}|_{(X \times S) \setminus \bigcup_{i \in I} \Gamma_{x_i}},$$

where  ${}^{\tau} \mathcal{G} = (\text{id}_X \times \text{Frob}_S)^*(\mathcal{G})$ , and

- a trivialization of  $(\mathcal{G}, \phi)$  at  $N$ .

Hence  ${}^{\tau} \mathcal{G}$  is just  $\mathcal{G}$  modified at the finitely many points  $\{x_i\}$ . Call  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$  the *legs/paws* of shtuka.

**Remark 6.2.** If  $I = \emptyset$ , a shtuka of  $X \times S$  is just a  $G$ -torsor on  $X$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

The moduli space of  $G$ -torsors on  $X$  with trivialization is denoted by  $\text{Bun}(G, N)$  and Weil showed the equality

$$\text{Bun}(G, N)(\mathbb{F}_q) = G(k) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}) / K_N.$$

For any irreducible representation  $W = \boxtimes_{i \in I} W_i$  of  $\check{G}^I$ , there is a notion of “a modification bounded by  $W_i$  at  $x_i$  for each  $i$ ”.



**Definition 6.3.** Let  $\text{Cht}_{N,I,W}$  be the (reduced) moduli stack of shtukas with  $|I|$  legs/ paws and modification bounded by  $W \in \text{Irr } \check{G}^I$ . Then we have

$$P_{N,I,W}: \text{Cht}_{N,I,W} \longrightarrow (X \setminus N)^I$$

taking legs.

**Remark 6.4.** If  $I = \emptyset$  or if  $W = 1$ , then  $\text{Cht}_{N,I,W}$  is just the constant stack  $\text{Bun}_G(\mathbb{F}_q)$  over  $X \setminus N^I$ .

**Definition 6.5.** We denote

$$\mathcal{H}_{N,I,W} = R^0(P_{N,I,W})!(\text{IC}_{\text{Cht}_{N,I,W}}),$$

where IC is the intersection cohomology. This is equipped with the action of Hecke operator  $\mathcal{H}_N$  via Hecke correspondences. This is also equipped with the action of “partial Frobenius” which is eventually these action of  $\Gamma_k^I$  at the generic point of  $X \times N$ .

Let us list the functional properties of  $\mathcal{H}_{N,I,W}(\smile \Gamma_k^I \times \mathcal{H}_N)$ .

(a) For fixed  $I$ , the correspondence  $W \mapsto \mathcal{H}_{N,I,W}$  gives a  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -linear functor

$$(\text{Rep } \check{G}) \longrightarrow (\text{Rep}(\Gamma_k^I \times \mathcal{H}_N)).$$

(b) Any map  $\zeta: I \longrightarrow J$  induces isomorphism

$$\chi_\zeta: \mathcal{H}_{I,W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{J,I^*(W)}$$

which is functorial in  $W$ .

(c) We have the equality

$$\mathcal{H}_{\emptyset,1} = C_c(G(k) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}) / K_N)$$

and  $\mathcal{H}_{\emptyset,1}$  contains  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp},N}$

Consequently, for given data  $W \in \text{Rep}(\check{G}^I)$ ,  $w \in W^{\check{G}^\Delta}$ ,  $w^* \in (W^*)^{\check{G}^\Delta}$ , and  $\gamma \in \Gamma_k^I$ , we have the following commutative diagram like the kids’ dance song “Hokey Pokey” (search the video).

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{In} & \mathcal{H}_{\{0\},W} & \xrightarrow{\chi_\zeta^{-1}} & \mathcal{H}_{I,W} & \xrightarrow[\text{“HokeyPokey”}]{\gamma} & \mathcal{H}_{I,W} & \xrightarrow{\chi_\zeta} & \mathcal{H}_{\{0\},W} \\ & \uparrow w & & & & & & \downarrow w^* \\ \text{Out} & \mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp},N} & \xrightarrow[\mathcal{H}_N\text{-equiv.}]{S_{I,\gamma,W,w,w^*}} & & & & & \mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp},N} \end{array}$$

## 7. APPLICATIONS OF THE GLOBALIZATION THEOREM

**7.1. Langlands-Shahidi theory.** The main application of the globalization theorem is Langlands-Shahidi theory in positive characteristic, which is a recent result of Luis Lomelí. Here we give a sketch of this theory.

Let  $G$  be a connected reductive group over a local field  $F$  of positive characteristic. Let  $P$  be a parabolic subgroup of  $G$ ,  $H$  a Levi component and  $N$  the unipotent radical. Passing to the dual group  $\check{G}$ , there is a corresponding parabolic subgroup  $\check{P} = \check{H}\check{N}$ . Then  $\check{H}$  acts on  $\text{Lie}(\check{N})$  by adjoint action. We denote the irreducible decomposition of this action by

$$(\text{Lie}(\check{N}), \text{Ad}) = \bigoplus_i (r_i, V_i).$$

Take an irreducible admissible representation  $\pi$  of  $H$ . Assuming the local Langlands correspondence,  $\pi$  is associated with an  $L$ -parameter

$$\phi_\pi: WD_F \longrightarrow \check{H}.$$

Due to Deligne, Langlands (characteristic 0) and Laumon (positive characteristic), we have the local L-factor  $L(s, r_i \circ \phi_\pi)$  and the local epsilon factor  $\varepsilon(s, r_i \circ \phi_\pi, \psi)$ . Langlands-Shahidi theory aims to define these local factors directly from  $\pi$ , without invoking local Langlands correspondence, i.e., we want to define  $L(s, \pi, r_i)$  and  $\varepsilon(s, \pi, r_i, \psi)$ . As usual, it is sufficient to define a coarser invariant  $\gamma(s, \pi, r_i, \psi)$ , a gamma factor, which is related to these two factors by

$$\gamma(s, \pi, r_i, \psi) = \varepsilon(s, \pi, r_i, \psi) \frac{L(1-s, \pi^\vee, r_i)}{L(s, \pi, r_i)}.$$

**Example 7.1.** (1) Let  $G = \text{GL}_{m+n}$  and  $P = H \times N$  be a parabolic subgroup of  $G$  with Levi component  $H = \text{GL}_m \times \text{GL}_n$ . Then the dual groups are  $\check{G} = \text{GL}_{m+n}(\mathbb{C})$  and

$$\check{P} = (\text{GL}_m(\mathbb{C}) \times \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})) \times \check{N}.$$

The decomposition of  $\text{Lie}(\check{N})$  is given by

$$\text{Lie}(\check{N}) = (\text{Std}_m)^\vee \boxtimes (\text{Std}_n)^\vee,$$

where  $\text{Std}_k$  denotes the standard representation of  $\text{GL}_k$ . Given an irreducible admissible representation  $\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2$  of  $\text{GL}_m \times \text{GL}_n$ , we can define

$$\gamma(s, \pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2, \text{Std}_m \boxtimes \text{Std}_n),$$

which is usually written simply by  $\gamma(s, \pi_1 \times \pi_2, \psi)$  and called the *Rankin-Selberg gamma factor*.

(2) Let  $G = \text{Sp}_{2n}$  and  $P = H \times N$  be a parabolic subgroup of  $G$  with Levi component  $H = \text{GL}_a \times \text{Sp}_{2n-2a}$ . Then we have  $\check{G} = \text{SO}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$  and

$$\check{P} = (\text{GL}_a(\mathbb{C}) \times \text{SO}_{2n-2a+1}(\mathbb{C})) \times N^\vee.$$

The decomposition of  $\text{Lie}(\check{N})$  is given by

$$\text{Lie}(\check{N}) = r_1 \oplus r_2,$$

where  $r_1 = \text{Std}_a \boxtimes \text{Std}_{\text{SO}_{2n-2a+1}}$  and  $r_2 = \wedge^2 \text{Std}_a$ . Given an irreducible admissible representation  $\pi \boxtimes \sigma$  of  $\text{GL}_a \times \text{Sp}_{2n-2a}$ , we get two gamma factors; one is Rankin-Selberg type  $\gamma(s, \pi \boxtimes \sigma, r_1, \psi)$ , which is usually denoted by  $\gamma(s, \pi \times \sigma, \psi)$  and the other is the *exterior square gamma factor*

$$\gamma(s, \pi \boxtimes \sigma, r_2, \psi) = \gamma(s, \pi, \wedge^2, \psi).$$

**Theorem 7.2** (Shahidi for number field case, Lomeli for function field case). Notation are as above.

- (1) For a generic irreducible admissible representation  $\pi$  of  $H$ , one can define

$$\gamma(s, \pi, r_i, \psi) \in \mathbb{C}(q_F^{-s})$$

satisfying the following properties:

- If  $H = T$  is a torus, then  $\gamma(s, \pi, r_i, \psi)$  is compatible with local Langlands correspondence for tori.
- (Multiplicativity) If  $\pi$  is a subrepresentation of  $\text{Ind}_Q^H(\tau)$  for some parabolic subgroup  $Q$  of  $H$  and its generic irreducible admissible representation  $\tau$ , then  $\gamma(s, \pi, r_i, \psi)$  can be expressed by the Langlands-Shahidi gamma factor for  $\tau$ .
- (Global functional equation) Fix a global additive character  $\Psi = \prod_v \Psi_v$  on  $k \backslash \mathbb{A}$ . If  $\Pi$  is a globally generic cuspidal automorphic representation of  $H(\mathbb{A})$ , one has

$$L^S(s, \Pi, r_i) = \prod_{v \in S} \gamma(s, \Pi_v, r_i, \Psi_v) L^S(1-s, \Pi^\vee, r_i),$$

for some suitable finite set  $S$  of places of  $k$ .

Moreover, these properties characterize  $\gamma(s, \pi, r_i, \psi)$ .

- (2) The gamma factors  $\gamma(s, \pi, r_i, \psi)$  satisfy the following additional property:
- (Local functional equation)

$$\gamma(s, \pi, r_i, \psi) \gamma(1-s, \pi^\vee, r_i, \bar{\psi}) = 1.$$

The strategy to prove this theorem is as follows: by the multiplicativity property, we can reduce the problem to the supercuspidal case. Given a supercuspidal representation, we globalize it and use global functional equation.

In the number field case, one can use “shrinking support” method to globalize supercuspidal representations, sacrificing one archimedean place where we already know every representation is obtained from principal series representations. On the other hand, in the function field case, we cannot use the same method since there is no such a favorable place we can sacrifice.

## 7.2. Stability of the Langlands-Shahidi gamma factors.

**Theorem 7.3.** If  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are generic admissible representations of  $H$  with the same central character, then we have

$$\gamma(s, \pi_1 \otimes \chi, r_i, \psi) = \gamma(s, \pi_2 \otimes \chi, r_i, \psi).$$

for any sufficiently ramified characters  $\chi$  of  $H$ .

Let us explain why we expect this property for gamma factors. Assuming local Langlands correspondence,  $\pi$  is associated with an  $L$ -parameter

$$\phi_\pi: WD_F \longrightarrow {}^L H.$$

Suppose  $\gamma(s, \pi, r_i, \psi) = \gamma(s, r_i \circ \phi_\pi, \psi)$ , which is a part of the conjectural naturality of local Langlands correspondence. In Galois theoretic context, the stability of gamma factors holds by Deligne and Henniart. Our theorem will be shown by passing to the Galois side and applying this result.

Recall the result of V. Lafforgue. Let  $k$  be a global field of characteristic  $p > 0$ . V. Lafforgue constructed a map for

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{cuspidal automorphic} \\ \text{representations of } H(\mathbb{A}) \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{(\text{VL})} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \rho_\ell: \text{Gal}(k^{sep}/k) \longrightarrow {}^L H(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \\ \text{continuous } \ell\text{-adic Galois representations} \end{array} \right\},$$

where  $l$  is a prime number different from  $p$ .

If we fix an isomorphism  $\iota_\ell: \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{C}$ , the local component  $\rho_{\ell,v}$  of  $\rho_\ell$  can be expressed by

$$\phi_v: W_F \times \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow {}^L H(\mathbb{C}).$$

Note that this may not be Frobenius semi-simple and let us denote its Frobenius semi-simplification by  $\phi_v^{\text{Frob}}$

This map satisfies the following properties: Let  $\pi$  be an cuspidal automorphic representation of  $H(\mathbb{A})$ .

- (a) For almost all  $v$  at which  $\pi_v$  is unramified,  $\rho_{\ell,v}$  gives the  $L$ -parameter of  $\pi_v$
- (b) Let  $Z = Z(H_F)^\circ$  be the connected center of  $H_F$ . We denote by  $\rho_Z$  the projection map

$${}^L H_F \longrightarrow {}^L H_F / ({}^L H_F^\circ)^{\text{der}} = {}^L Z.$$

Then the central character  $\omega_\pi$  of  $\pi$  corresponds to  $\rho_Z \circ \rho_{\pi,\ell}$ .

**Lemma 7.4.** For an irreducible generic admissible representation  $\pi$  of  $H_F$ , there is an  $L$ -parameter

$$\phi: WD_F \longrightarrow {}^L H_F$$

such that

- the central character  $\omega_\pi$  corresponds to  $\rho_Z \circ \phi$  under the local Langlands correspondence for tori
- $\gamma(s, \pi \otimes \chi_F, r_i, \psi) = \gamma(s, r_i \circ (\phi \otimes \chi_F), \psi)$  holds for all unitary characters  $\chi_F$  of  $H_F$ .

First we deduce our theorem from this lemma. Suppose  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are irreducible generic representations of  $H_F$  with the same central character. Assuming the above lemma, there are  $L$ -parameters  $\phi_i$  corresponding to  $\pi_i$ . By the first condition in the lemma,  $\rho_Z \circ \phi_1 = \rho_Z \circ \phi_2$ . For any finite dimensional representation  $R$  of  ${}^L H_F$ ,  $\det R$  factors through

$$\rho_Z: {}^L H_F \longrightarrow {}^L H_F / (\check{H}_F)^{\text{der}}.$$

Hence we get  $\det R \circ \phi_1 = \det R \circ \phi_2$ . Then Theorem 7.3 follows from the result of Deligne and Henniart.

*Proof of lemma.* We may assume that  $\pi$  is a supercuspidal representation. Applying the globalizing theorem (or rather its corollary), we get a global field  $k$  and a generic cuspidal automorphic representation  $\Pi$  satisfying  $k_{v_0} \cong F$ ,  $\Pi_{v_0} \cong \pi$ , and  $\Pi_v$  is contained in some principal series representation for any  $v \neq v_0$ . Fix a non-trivial additive character  $\Psi = \prod_v \Psi_v$  of  $k \backslash \mathbb{A}$ .

Applying the globalizing theorem again to  $\chi_F$ , we obtain an automorphic character  $\chi$  such that  $\chi_{v_0} = \chi_F$ .

By the result of V. Lafforgue,  $\Pi$  corresponds to a Galois representation  $\rho_\Pi$ . Let  $S$  be a large finite set of places which does not contain  $v_0$ , such that for any  $v \notin S$ ,  $\Pi_v$  and  $\chi_v$  are unramified and  $\rho_{\Pi,v}$  gives the  $L$ -parameter of  $\Pi_v$ .

By the global functional equation obtained from Langlands-Shahidi theory,

$$L^S(s, \Pi \otimes \chi, R) = \prod_{v \in S \cup \{v_0\}} \gamma(s, \Pi_v \otimes \chi_v, R, \Psi_v) L^S(1-s, \Pi^\vee \otimes \chi^{-1}, R).$$

On the other hands, the functional equation of  $L$ -functions for Galois representations leads

$$L^S(R \circ (\rho_\Pi \otimes \chi)) = \prod_{v \in S \cup \{v_0\}} \gamma(s, R \circ \rho_{\Pi,v} \otimes \chi_v, \Psi_v) L^S(1-s, R \circ (\rho_\Pi^\vee \otimes \chi^{-1})).$$

Note that we have

$$L^S(s, \Pi \otimes \chi, R) = L^S(R \circ (\rho_\Pi \otimes \chi_F))$$

and

$$L^S(1-s, \Pi^\vee \otimes \chi^{-1}, R) = L^S(1-s, R \circ (\rho_\Pi^\vee \otimes \chi^{-1})).$$

Hence we get

$$\prod_{v \in S \cup \{v_0\}} \gamma(s, \Pi_v \otimes \chi_v, R, \Psi_v) = \prod_{v \in S \cup \{v_0\}} \gamma(s, R \circ (\rho_{\Pi,v} \otimes \chi_v), \Psi_v)$$

Since  $\Pi_v$  for  $v \in S$  is contained in some principal series representation, we get

$$\gamma(s, \Pi_v \otimes \chi_v, R, \Psi_v) = \gamma(s, R \circ (\phi_{\Pi,v} \otimes \chi_v), \Psi_v)$$

from the multiplicativity of the gamma factors and the compatibility of local Langlands correspondence for tori. Therefore we get

$$\gamma(s, \Pi_{v_0} \otimes \chi_{v_0}, R, \Psi_{v_0}) = \gamma(s, R \circ (\rho_{\Pi, v_0} \otimes \chi_{v_0}), \Psi_{v_0}) \prod_{v \in S} \frac{\gamma(s, R \circ (\rho_{\Pi, v} \otimes \chi_v), \Psi_v)}{\gamma(s, R \circ (\phi_{\Pi, v} \otimes \chi_v), \Psi_v)}.$$

We may assume that  $\chi_v$  for  $v \in S$  is sufficiently ramified, and if this is the case,

$$\prod_{v \in S} \frac{\gamma(s, R \circ (\rho_{\Pi, v} \otimes \chi_v), \Psi_v)}{\gamma(s, R \circ (\phi_{\Pi, v} \otimes \chi_v), \Psi_v)} = 1$$

by the result of Deligne and Henniart. This implies the lemma.  $\square$

The following corollary is a consequence of the proof of the above lemma.

**Corollary 7.5.** Let  $\Pi$  be a generic cuspidal automorphic representation of  $H(\mathbb{A})$  and  $X$  be a Hecke character. Fix a non-trivial additive character  $\Psi$  of  $k \backslash \mathbb{A}$ . Then for any place  $v$ , we have

$$\gamma(s, \Pi_v \otimes \chi_v, R, \Psi_v) = \gamma(s, R \circ (\rho_{\Pi, v} \otimes \chi_v), \Psi_v).$$

## 8. CONSTRUCTION OF LOCAL LANGLANDS CORRESPONDENCE

8.1. **Plancherel measures.** Let

- $F$  a local field of characteristic  $p$ ,
- $G_F \supset P_F = H_F N_F$  be a parabolic subgroup of  $G_F$  with Levi decomposition,
- $\pi_F$  an irreducible representation of  $H_F$ ,
- $\chi_F$  a character of  $H_F$ , and
- $\psi$  a non-trivial additive character of  $F$ .

Then, we have standard intertwining operators [9]:

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{P_F}^{G_F}(\pi_F \otimes \chi_F) \xrightarrow{M_{P_F, \bar{P}_F, \psi}(\pi_F \otimes \chi_F)} \mathrm{Ind}_{\bar{P}_F}^{G_F}(\pi_F \otimes \chi_F) \xrightarrow{M_{\bar{P}_F, P_F, \psi}(\pi_F \otimes \chi_F)} \mathrm{Ind}_{P_F}^{G_F}(\pi_F \otimes \chi_F).$$

Here,  $\bar{P}_F$  is the opposite of  $P_F$ .

Since these induced representations are irreducible for general  $\chi_F$ , composition of two intertwining operators above are actually a scalar.

**Definition 8.1.** We define a  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued meromorphic function  $\mu$  in  $\chi_F$  by

$$\mu(\pi_F \otimes \chi_F, \psi)^{-1} = M_{\bar{P}_F, P_F, \psi}(\pi_F \otimes \chi_F) \circ M_{P_F, \bar{P}_F, \psi}(\pi_F \otimes \chi_F).$$

This function is called the *Plancherel measure*. Note that  $\mu$  depends on the choice of Haar measures. For a precise normalization, see [3]. Its poles tell us something about reducibility points of induced representations as we will see later. One of the achievement of Langlands-Shahidi theory is breaking up this invariant into smaller pieces;

**Proposition 8.2.** If  $\pi$  is generic, we have

$$\mu(\pi_F, \psi) = \prod_i \gamma^{\text{LS}}(\pi_F, r_i, \psi) \gamma^{\text{LS}}(\pi_F^\vee, r_i, \bar{\psi}),$$

where  $\text{Lie}(\check{N}_F) = \bigoplus r_i$  as a representation of  $\check{H}_F$ . Here, the superscript LS means that the gamma factor is the one obtained from the Langlands-Shahidi method.

Similarly as in the last section, we have the following equation between representation theoretic invariant and Galois theoretic invariant.

**Lemma 8.3.** If  $\pi_F$  is a supercuspidal representation of  $H_F$ , then there is an  $L$ -parameter

$$\phi_F: WD_F \longrightarrow {}^L H_F$$

such that

$$\mu(\pi_F, \psi) = \prod_i \gamma^{\text{Gal}}(r_i \circ \phi_F, \psi_v) \gamma^{\text{Gal}}(r_i^\vee \circ \phi_F, \bar{\psi}_v).$$

**Proposition 8.4.** (1) Given irreducible representations  $\pi_1, \pi_2$  of  $H_F$  with the same central character, we have

$$\mu(\pi_1 \otimes \chi_F, \psi) = \mu(\pi_2 \otimes \chi_F, \psi)$$

for every sufficiently ramified character  $\chi_F$ .

(2) If  $\Pi = \bigotimes'_v \Pi_v$  is a cuspidal automorphic representation with  $\rho_{\Pi, \ell}$  via a map (VL), we have

$$\mu(\Pi_v, \Psi_v) = \prod_i (\gamma^{\text{Gal}}(r_i \circ \rho_{\Pi, \ell, v}, \Psi_v) \gamma^{\text{Gal}}(r_i^\vee \circ \rho_{\Pi, \ell, v}, \bar{\Psi}_v))$$

for every place  $v$ .

*Proof.* The proof of (1) is analogous to that of Theorem 7.3. We only give the sketch. By multiplicativity of gamma factors, we can reduce to the supercuspidal case. Hence we may assume that  $\pi_i$  are supercuspidal. Admitting Lemma 8.3, the assertion follows from the stability of gamma factors by Deligne and Henniart. This completes the proof.  $\square$

Our goal is to construct a Local Langlands Correspondence

$$\mathcal{L}: \text{Irr } H_F \longrightarrow \{ L\text{-parameters for } H_F \}$$

via V. Lafforgue's Global Langlands Correspondence.

Consider the case that  $H_F$  is classical groups. Let  $E = F$ , or a quadratic extension of  $F$ . Let  $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be a finite-dimensional vector space  $V$  with  $\varepsilon$ -hermitian form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Define  $H_F = \text{Aut}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)^\circ$ . Then,

For these  $H_F$ ,  $L$ -parameters are simply equivalent classes of conjugate self-dual representations  $\rho: WD_E \rightarrow \text{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  with some sign  $\varepsilon(H_F)$ .

$H_F$	$\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$	$\mathrm{SO}_{2n}$	$\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}$	$\mathrm{U}_n$
$\tilde{H}_F$	$\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$	$\mathrm{SO}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$	$\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$	$\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$
${}^L H_F$	$\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$	$\mathrm{O}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$	$\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$	$\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$

In [1], [4], using Langlands Shahidi-theory and the converse theorem, one obtains a functorial lifting

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{generic cuspidal automorphic} \\ \text{representations of } H \text{ over } k \end{array} \right\} \longrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{automorphic representations} \\ \text{of } \mathrm{GL}_N \end{array} \right\}.$$

From this, one gets a local Langlands correspondence  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{LS}}$  for supercuspidal representations.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{gen},\mathrm{sc}} H_F & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{sc}} \mathrm{GL}_N(F) \\ \mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{LS}} \downarrow & \searrow & \uparrow \text{LLC for } \mathrm{GL}_N \\ \{ \phi: W_E \rightarrow {}^L H_F \}^{\mathrm{C}} & \longrightarrow & \{ \psi: W_{D_E} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{C}) \} \end{array}$$

Here,  $\mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{gen},\mathrm{sc}} H_F$  is the set of the equivalent classes of supercuspidal representations of  $H_F$  and  $\mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{sc}} \mathrm{GL}_N(F)$  is the set of the equivalent classes of supercuspidal representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_N(F)$ .

Here  $\mathcal{L}$  is characterized by the equality

$$\gamma^{\mathrm{LS}}(\pi \times \tau, \psi) = \gamma^{\mathrm{Gal}}(\phi_\pi \otimes \phi_\tau, \psi)$$

for every irreducible representations  $\tau$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_N(F)$ . The right hand side is the gamma factor of  $L$ -parameters.

We assume the *Working Hypothesis*: Suppose  $H_F = \mathrm{SO}$  or  $\mathrm{Sp}$ . We assume that the image of  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{LS}}$  contains a tamely ramified almost irreducible parameter  $\phi_1$ . We denote by  $\pi_1$  the irreducible generic supercuspidal representation of  $H_F$  corresponding to  $\phi_1$ .

**Remark 8.5.** (1) If the local descent is extended to function fields, then one would know  $\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{LS}}$  is surjective.

(2) In positive characteristic, to this  $\phi$ , Debacker-Reeder associates an  $L$ -packet of depth 0 supercuspidal representations. Savin checked that for a generic supercuspidal representation  $\pi$  in this packet, we have

$$\gamma^{\mathrm{LS}}(\pi \times \tau, \psi) = \gamma^{\mathrm{Gal}}(\phi \otimes \phi_\tau, \psi).$$

Let  $\mathrm{Irr} H_F$  denote the set of equivalent classes of irreducible admissible representations of  $H_F$ .

**Theorem 8.6.** Suppose that  $F$  is a function field with positive characteristic  $p \neq 2$  and  $H_F$  is quasi-split. Assume the Working Hypothesis, then there exists a map

$$\mathcal{L}: \mathrm{Irr} H_F \rightarrow \{L\text{-parameters for } H_F\}$$



such that for  $\pi \in \text{Irr } H_F$  and  $\phi_\pi = \mathcal{L}(\pi)$ , the following properties hold:

- $\pi$  is a discrete series representation if and only if  $\phi_\pi$  is elliptic,
- $\pi$  is tempered if and only if  $\phi|_{W_E}$  is bounded,
- if  $\pi$  is generic, then  $\gamma^{\text{LS}}(\pi \times \tau, \psi) = \gamma^{\text{Gal}}(\phi_\pi \otimes \phi_\tau, \psi)$  i.e.,  $\phi_\pi = \mathcal{L}^{\text{LS}}(\pi)$ ,
- for general  $\pi$ ,  $\mu(\pi \times \tau, \psi) = \mu^{\text{Gal}}(\pi \otimes \tau, \psi)$ , and
- $\mathcal{L}$  is compatible with Langlands classification.

Moreover,  $\mathcal{L}$  is characterized by these properties.

We then ask the following questions.

- (a) Is  $\mathcal{L}$  surjective?
- (b) Are the fibers finite?
- (c) Is there a refined classification of fibers?

In order to consider the above questions, we will construct  $\mathcal{L}$  for supercuspidal representations  $\pi$  of  $H_F$ . By the globalization theorem, we can construct the following items:

- a global field  $k$  with  $k_{v_0} \cong F$ ,
- a quasi-split group  $H$  over  $k$  with  $H_{v_0} \cong H_F$ , and
- a cuspidal representation  $\Pi$  of  $H(\mathbb{A})$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \Pi_{v_0} \cong \pi_F. \\ \Pi_{v_1} \cong \pi_1 \text{ as in working hypothesis.} \\ \Pi_v \text{ is contained in a principal series, for every } v \neq v_0, v_1. \end{cases}$$

Take an automorphic representation  $\Pi$ . Let  $\rho_\Pi$  be the  $L$ -parameter of  $\Pi$  via a map  $(VL)$ .

- Proposition 8.7.** (1) At  $v_1$ , we have  $\rho_{\Pi, \ell, v} = \phi_1$ .  
(2)  $\rho_\Pi$  is pure of weight 0.  
(3) At  $v_0$ ,  $\rho_{\Pi, \ell, v_0}$  is an elliptic  $L$ -parameter of  $H_F$ .

*Proof.* (1) By the result on Plancherel measure, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(s, \pi_1 \times \tau, \psi) &= \gamma(s, \rho_{\Pi, \ell, v_1}^\vee \otimes \phi_\tau, \psi) \gamma(-s, \rho_{\Pi, \ell, v_1} \otimes \phi_\tau^\vee, \bar{\psi}) \\ &\quad \times \gamma(2s, R \circ \phi_\tau, \psi) \gamma(-2s, R^\vee \circ \phi_\tau, \bar{\psi}), \end{aligned}$$

for all irreducible supercuspidal representations  $\tau$  of  $\text{GL}_r$  with arbitrary  $r$ . Here,  $R$  is one of the following representation of  $\text{GL}_N(\mathbb{C})$  depending on  $H_F$ :

$$R = \begin{cases} \text{Sym}^2 \\ \wedge^2 \\ \text{Asai}^\pm \end{cases}$$

We write the right-hand side by  $\mu^{\text{Gal}}(\rho_{\Pi, \ell, v_1} \times \phi_\tau, \psi)$ .

On the other hand, since both  $\pi_1$  and  $\tau$  are generic, Langlands-Shahidi theory (Proposition 8.2 and Proposition 8.4) provides us the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(s, \pi_1 \times \tau, \psi) &= \gamma^{\text{LS}}(s, \pi_1 \times \tau, \psi) \gamma^{\text{LS}}(-s, \pi^\vee \times \tau^\vee, \bar{\psi}) \\ &\quad \times \gamma^{\text{LS}}(2s, \tau, R, \psi) \gamma^{\text{LS}}(-2s, \tau^\vee, R^\vee, \bar{\psi}) \\ &= \mu^{\text{Gal}}(\phi_1 \times \phi_\tau, \psi)\end{aligned}$$

Note that the local Langlands correspondence preserves gamma factors only up to some roots of unity, but this ambiguity is canceled in the above formula.

From this, we get

$$\gamma(s, \rho_{\Pi, \ell, v_1}^\vee \otimes \phi_\tau, \psi) \gamma(-s, \rho_{\Pi, \ell, v_1} \otimes \phi_\tau^\vee, \bar{\psi}) = \gamma(s, \phi_1^\vee \otimes \phi_\tau, \psi) \gamma(-s, \phi_1 \otimes \phi_\tau^\vee, \bar{\psi}).$$

Since  $\phi_1$  is an almost irreducible Galois representation, this equation shows that  $\rho_{\Pi, \ell, v_1} = \phi_1$ .

(2) follows from a conjecture of Deligne in Weil II which was shown by L. Laforgue. Since  $\rho_{\Pi, \ell}$  is ‘‘almost irreducible’’, it is pure of weight 0.

(3) Deligne showed in Weil — (Theorem 1.8.4), that if  $\rho_{\Pi, \ell, v_0}$  is pure of weight 0, then  $\rho_{\Pi, \ell, v_0}$  is a tempered  $L$ -parameter for  $\text{GL}_N$ . Moreover, by Proposition 8.4, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(s, \pi \times \tau, \psi) &= \gamma(s, \rho_{\Pi, \ell, v_0}^\vee \otimes \phi_\tau, \psi) \gamma(-s, \rho_{\Pi, \ell, v_0} \otimes \phi_{v_0}^\vee, \bar{\psi}) \\ &\quad \times \gamma(2s, R \circ \phi_\tau, \bar{\psi}) \gamma(-2s, R^\vee \circ \phi_\tau, \bar{\psi})\end{aligned}$$

for any  $\phi_\tau$ . Now, if  $\psi_\tau$  is not conjugate-self-dual, then it follows by [9] that the left hand side is non-zero and hence so is right hand side. This implies  $\rho_{\Pi, \ell, v_0}$  does not contain any non-conjugate-self-dual summand. Further, it follows by [9] that the left hand side has a zero of order at most 2, which implies that  $\rho_{\Pi, \ell, v_0}$  has multiplicity free. Hence,  $\rho_{\Pi, \ell, v_0}$  is a discrete parameter of  $H_F$ .  $\square$

Next, we will show that  $\rho_{\Pi, \ell, v_0}$  in the previous proposition only depends on  $\Pi_{v_0} \cong \pi_F$ . In other words, it is independent of several choice of globalization.

**Proposition 8.8** (Independence). Suppose

- $k$  and  $k'$  are global fields with  $k_{v_0} \cong k_{v'_0} \cong F$ .
- $H$  and  $H'$  are reductive groups over  $k$  and  $k'$  such that  $H_{v_0} \cong H_{v'_0} \cong H_F$ , respectively.
- $\Pi$  and  $\Pi'$  are cuspidal representations of  $H$  and  $H'$ , respectively.
- $\Pi_{v_0} \cong \Pi_{v'_0} \cong \pi_F$ .
- $\rho_{\Pi, \ell}$  and  $\rho_{\Pi', \ell'}$  are pure of weight 0, where  $\ell, \ell'$  are two primes different from the characteristic of  $F$ .

Then,  $\rho_{\Pi, v_0, \ell}$  and  $\rho_{\Pi', v'_0, \ell'}$  give the same  $L$ -parameter of  $H_F$ .

**8.2. Reducibility of generalized principal series.** Let  $\tau$  be an irreducible representation of  $GL_r$ . We define the induced representation  $I(s, \pi \times \tau)$  by

$$I(s, \pi \times \tau) = \text{Ind}_P^G(\pi \boxtimes \tau |\det|^s), \quad s \in \mathbb{C}.$$

We call  $I(s, \pi \times \tau)$  a *principal series*. Define  $c \in \text{Gal}(E/F)$  by  $\langle c \rangle = \text{Gal}(E/F)$ .

- Lemma 8.9** (Harish-Chandra, Silberger [7], [8]).
- (a) When  $\tau^c = \tau^\vee$ , then  $I(s, \pi \times \tau)$  is irreducible for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ .
  - (b) When  $\tau^c \neq \tau^\vee$ , then  $I(0, \pi \times \tau)$  is reducible if and only if  $\mu(0, \pi \times \tau) \neq 0$ . In that case,  $I(s, \pi \times \tau)$  is irreducible for every  $s \neq 0$  and  $\mu(s, \pi \times \tau)$  is holomorphic for every  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ .
  - (c) When  $\tau^c \neq \tau^\vee$  and  $\mu(0, \pi \times \tau) = 0$ , then  $I(s_0, \pi \times \tau)$  is reducible if and only if  $\mu(s_0, \pi \times \tau) = \infty$  for  $s_0 > 0$ . In that case, such a  $s_0$  is unique and the pole of  $\mu$  at  $s_0$  is simple and zero of  $\mu$  at  $s = 0$  is of order 2 and  $\mu$  has no zeros elsewhere.

**Definition 8.10.** For an  $L$ -parameter  $\phi_\pi$ , let

$$\rho = \bigoplus_i \rho \otimes S_{a_i},$$

where  $S_a$  is the irreducible  $a$ -dimensional representation of  $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ . Set

$$\text{Jord}_\rho(\phi_\pi) = \{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid \rho \otimes S_a \subset \phi_\pi\}$$

for each  $\rho$ . Then, we say  $\phi_\pi$  is *sans trou* if, for all  $\rho$  such that  $\text{Jord}(\rho)$  is non-empty and  $2 < a \in \text{Jord}_\rho(\phi_\pi)$ , then  $a - 2 \in \text{Jord}_\rho(\phi_\pi)$ .

**Proposition 8.11.** If  $\pi$  is supercuspidal and  $\phi_\pi = \mathcal{L}(\pi)$ , then  $\phi_\pi$  is sans trou.

**Corollary 8.12.**  $I(s_0, \tau \otimes \pi)$  is reducible if and only if  $\tau^\vee = \tau^c$  and one of the following conditions hold

- (1)  $s_0 = (a_\tau(\pi) + 1) \geq 1$  with  $a_\tau(\pi) = \max \text{Jord}_{\phi_\tau}(\phi_\pi)$ .
- (2)  $s_0$  if and only if  $\text{Jord}_{\phi_\tau}(\phi_\pi)$  is empty and  $\varepsilon(\phi_\pi) = -\varepsilon(H_F)$ .
- (3)  $s_0 = 0$  if and only if  $\text{Jord}_{\phi_\tau}(\phi_\pi)$  is empty and  $\varepsilon(\phi_\pi) = \varepsilon(H_F)$ .

This corollary is the assumption (BA) in Mœglin-Tadić [5].

## REFERENCES

- [1] Cogdell, J. W., Kim, H. H., Piatetski-Shapiro, I. I., Shahidi, F., *Functoriality for the classical groups*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. No. 99 (2004), 163-233.
- [2] Conrad, B., Gabber, O., Prasad, G., *Pseudo-reductive groups*, Second edition, New Mathematical Monographs, 26. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2015.
- [3] Gan, W. T., Ichino, A., *Formal degrees and local theta correspondence*, Invent. Math. 195 (2014), no. 3, 509-672.
- [4] Lomelí, L. A., *Functoriality for the classical groups over function fields*, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2009, no. 22, 4271-4335.
- [5] Mœglin, C., Tadić, M., *Construction of discrete series for classical  $p$ -adic groups*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 15 (2002), no. 3, 715-786.
- [6] Oesterlé, J., *Nombres de Tamagawa et groupes unipotents en caractéristique  $p$* , Invent. Math. 78 (1984), no. 1, 13-88.

- [7] Silberger, A. J., *Introduction to harmonic analysis on reductive  $p$ -adic groups* Based on lectures by Harish-Chandra at the Institute for Advanced Study, 1971-1973. Mathematical Notes, 23. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1979.
- [8] Silberger, A. J., *Special representations of reductive  $p$ -adic groups are not integrable*, Ann. of Math. (2) 111 (1980), no. 3, 571-587.
- [9] Waldspurger, J.-L., *La formule de Plancherel pour les groupes  $p$ -adiques (d'après Harish-Chandra)*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 2 (2003), no. 2, 235-333.